

AssangeDAO Gnosis Safe multisig

The address of the AssangeDAO Gnosis Safe multisig
0x7BE99ca4E6893ef57cf349ab56307628100feA00

Activities can be followed here:

<https://gnosis-safe.io/app/>

eth:0x7BE99ca4E6893ef57cf349ab56307628100feA00/balances

AssangeDAO original multisig (to keep)

- Amir Taaki
- Rachel-Rose O'Leary
- Fiskantes
- McKenna,
- Silke Noa

AssangeDAO TEMPORARY multisig to be added now until we finalize the governance (to be voted and confirmed first)

- Zyl0
 - bZ (0x6f12FA7512c3c441F27A2BBBb92ca7e7Af6f3844)
-

AssangeDAO Snapshot current authors

- parazyd.eth
- E (0xB62D7052F3E0Deb75a2d91A3526cA0A5934537df)
- Z (0x0BF72198CA3c215fd2F7bc041824dF97CBb7aDF5)
- Kali (0x2168284Dc81f39B1869575DeB68a1716Cf9F4657)
- Aphidia (0x1A35fDBd975cF764c043D0F737CD86F4d9FEB160)
- LP (0xb0A15FA284aa926F9AD707335E0a90dBe08F93a2)
- JB (0x9234dA5B588A8FE47DfEb9a7852d49399CfB5a94)
- fatalm.eth
- MD (0x00c07cb8991381d11553e6e6372e3bb4d8919976)
- WN (0x2f0959C4fA0e168c7D184FE2543919EB1C39EC8B)
- PQ (0x5a575A52eaEbDC906d8087991Cc445d27B13ed3E)
- AssangeDAO multisig (0x7BE99ca4E6893ef57cf349ab56307628100feA00)

AssangeDAO Snapshot authors to be added (to be voted and confirmed)

- YETI (0xA831B5ECFd108C799e7A27a81070E9FAC891015F)
 - Andrew Teh (0xa7a03784878C205f4933541B28286aB8508299A8)
-

AssangeDAO Governance PROPOSAL

1. Advisory council (aka Assange Family unit aka Consensus Unit)

- Should there be an advisory council?

- If there should be an advisory council, should the advisory council have veto power?
- If they should have veto power, what are the limits of their veto power?
- If there is not an advisory council, what mechanism will be used to mitigate adversarial actors that want to harm Julian Assange and slow down his liberation?

2. *Community moderation*

- What rules and standards do we want to enforce for moderating the AssangeDAO Discord and forum?
- What spam prevention techniques should AssangeDAO implement for its Snapshot?
- Decided a code of conduct

3. *\$JUSTICE*

- Should the option be left open for more \$JUSTICE to be minted (by DAO vote) or should the ability to mint more tokens be revoked?

4. *Multisig*

- How many signers should the multisig have?
- What are the requirements for being a candidate to become elected as a multisig signer?
- What are the term lengths of multisig signers?

5. *Proposal validity*

- What are the criteria for determining if a proposal is valid for Snapshot voting?

6. *Snapshot parameters*

- Should there be a quorum, and if so, what should it be?
- What should be proposal duration be?
- Should there be a cooldown period, which applies to a proposal's enforcement?
- For single choice votes, what approval percentage is required to pass?
- For multiple choice votes, what approval percentage is required to pass?

7. *Voting cycles*

- Should AssangeDAO have a rolling voting process, where proposals can be submitted any day, or should AssangeDAO vote on a cyclical basis (e.g. every month)?
- If cyclical, should there be a limit to the amount of proposals valid for voting per voting cycle?
- If rolling votes, how much advanced notice should community members have before a proposal is open for voting on Snapshot?

The First Series of Votes

For the first series of votes, AssangeDAO needs to ratify a governance model. We call this AIP-0001. To do this we will have a five step process to ratify a governance model.

1. It will be important to first have discussions on the topics in the previous section and surveys to measure community sentiment

2. Next, we will encourage the community to break off into working groups to develop governance proposals for how this DAO can work. If the proposal includes electing new members, the elections should come in a subsequent vote after the model itself is ratified.

3. Working groups should submit the proposals to the forum for review.

4. Vote #1 - Includes all governance models submitted on forum as options

5. Vote #2 - Includes the top 2 governance models from Vote #1 as options. (Vote #2 will occur if there were 3+ governance models in Vote #1. If not, then Vote #1 will select the governance model.) The option with greater than 50% will be the winning governance model.

(Note: We had to invoke an earlier version of AIP-0001 to make some decisions before \$JUSTICE voting was enabled, but the goal now is to replace/upgrade AIP-0001 with a community-voted model.)

Second set of votes

The next set of votes will be related to establishing the core team that satisfies the governance model that was ratified.

This may include:

- Electing an operational lead
 - Electing a community lead
 - Electing multisig signers
 - Electing AIP editors
 - Electing members of any other governance organisms, such as the advisory council or a community governance panel
-

Initial Snapshot Parameters

To be able to vote in an initial governance model, the following Snapshot parameters will be used:

- Vote duration: 72 hrs
- Quorum: 20% of circulating \$JUSTICE supply
- Two choices: Winning option needs 50+% of \$JUSTICE that voted
- Multiple choice: TBD (let's discuss)

After these series of votes have taken place to determine the community governance model, new parameters will be executed by the current Gnosis Safe to adhere to any possible changes.